

**United States Environmental Protection Agency
Criminal Investigation Division
Investigative Activity Report**

Case Number

0500-0614

Case Title:

Village of Crestwood, IL

Reporting Office:

Chicago, IL, Area Office

Subject of Report:

Interview of (b) (7)(C) on January 21, 2011

Activity Date:

January 21, 2011

Reporting Official and Date:

(b) (7)(C), SA

10-FEB-2011, Signed by: (b) (7)(C), SA

Approving Official and Date:

(b) (7)(C) SAC

16-FEB-2011, Approved by: (b) (7)(C) ASAC

SYNOPSIS

On January 21, 2011 (b) (7)(C) was interviewed. (b) (7) provided information relating to the Village of Crestwood, where (b) (7) was formerly employed as the Village Services Director.

DETAILS

On January 21, 2011 (b) (7)(C) (b) (7)), (b) (7)(C) , Oak Forest, IL, IL (b) (7)(C) , was interviewed by this reporting agent (b) (7) Special Agent (b) (7)(C) and Special (b) (7)(C) , of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Criminal Investigation. This interview was conducted at (b) (7)(C) home.

Upon meeting (b) (7) , agents displayed EPA-CID credentials to (b) (7) . Agents advised (b) (7) that they wished to speak with (b) (7) regarding (b) (7) previous employment for the Village of Crestwood, IL. Before being asked any questions, (b) (7) advised agents that (b) (7) did not know anything. (b) (7) advised (b) (7) that agents had only a few questions for (b) (7) , and that it would take very little of (b) (7) time. (b) (7) advised agents that (b) (7) was a diabetic with low blood sugar, and that (b) (7) had a pacemaker. (b) (7) advised (b) (7) that agents could come back at another time, or that (b) (7) could come to EPA-CID offices in Chicago at a later date to be interviewed. Ultimately, (b) (7) n agreed to speak with agents.

In summary and not verbatim unless otherwise noted, (b) (7) provided the following information:

(b) (7) worked for the Village of Crestwood, IL beginning in approximately December 2005, until approximately May 1, 2006. (b) (7) was hired to be the Village Services Director, a position that was formerly held by (b) (7)(C) . (b) (7)(C) continued to work for the village while (b) (7) was there, essentially training (b) (7) in (b) (7) new job. (b) (7) also stated that (b) (7) did not get along with (b) (7)(C) and that (b) (7)(C) was a "flaming asshole."

(b) (7) stated that (b) (7) had no discussions with anyone relating to the village's drinking water, or the village's well. (b) (7) stated that (b) (7) never saw any paperwork relating to the village's drinking water or the village's well. (b) (7) also had little to do with the water operator for the village, (b) (7)(C) . (b) (7) stated that if anyone would know about the drinking water or the well use in Crestwood, it would be (b) (7)(C) .

(b) (7) stated that the Village Clerk, (b) (7)(C) , was responsible for doing any reports for the village. Another (b) (7) that worked for the village, (b) (7)(C) would also assist (b) (7) with reports. (b) (7) stated that there were four other (b) (7) that also worked at village hall. (b) (7) stated that (b) (7) was aware that the village did give property tax rebates to residents, but did not know

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the EPA.
It is the property of the EPA and is loaned to your agency;
it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

**United States Environmental Protection Agency
Criminal Investigation Division
Investigative Activity Report**

Case Number

0500-0614

specifics of the rebates.

(b) (7) ultimately quit, as a result of having to work nights and weekends, which (b) (7) had originally been told that (b) (7) would not have to do.

(b) (7) again stated that agents should speak with (b) (7)(C), as (b) (7) would have the most information relating to the drinking water issues.

At the end of the interview, (b) (7) advised agents that (b) (7) would like to review a copy of the interview report that would be generated, and that (b) (7) would also like copies of the interview report, and the interview notes that were being taken by SA (b) (7)(C). (b) (7) advised (b) (7) that (b) (7) would not be entitled to review the report, nor would (b) (7) receive copies of the report or notes, as there was an ongoing criminal investigation. (b) (7) advised (b) (7) that (b) (7) could receive the requested items through a Freedom of Information Act Request to EPA, and would likely receive them after the investigation was closed. (b) (7) stated that (b) (7) wanted to ensure that agents accurately recorded (b) (7) statements from the interview. (b) (7) reiterated that (b) (7) would not be receiving copies of any of these materials, and then departed (b) (7) residence.

Later this same day, (b) (7) received a voicemail from (b) (7) demanding the name of the second agent that had accompanied (b) (7) to the interview of (b) (7) earlier in the day, along with the legal citations that justified agents not providing copies of the interview report and interview notes to (b) (7). (b) (7) stated that (b) (7) wanted this information for (b) (7) records and that "who knows, I need to get an attorney now, you know, with all this bullshit that fucking going on with Crestwood." (b) (7) requested that the materials be faxed to (b) (7) home. (b) (7) did not respond to (b) (7)(C) request.